

REMARKS

The above amendments and following remarks are submitted in response to the Official Action of the Examiner mailed May 17, 2005. Having addressed all objections and grounds of rejection, claims 5 and 15, being all the pending claims, are now deemed in condition for allowance. Entry of this amendment and reconsideration to that end is respectfully requested.

Claims 5 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, in view of certain informalities. In response thereto, claims 5 and 15 have been amended and are now deemed allowable in view of the Examiner's statements of paragraph 5.

Specifically, claim 5 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner that the comparison corresponds to "authorized user0ID's". As a result, claim 5 should be more easily understood.

Claim 15 has been amended to indicate that the claimed "security profile" and claimed "command language script" for a given "service request" are stored in association with each other. Support for this limitation is readily found throughout Applicants' specification and is specifically described at page 7, lines 15-22, and at page 32, lines 20-22. Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for requesting clarification of these issues.


Having thus responded to each objection and ground of rejection, Applicants respectfully request entry of this amendment and allowance of claims 5 and 15, being the only pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Niels Gebauer, et al

By their attorney,

Date August 18, 2005


Wayne A. Sivertson
Reg. No. 25,645
Suite 401
Broadway Place East
3433 Broadway Street N.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota
55413
(612) 331-1464